



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/064,010	06/04/2002	George J. Vlahos		7211

7590 11/21/2006
George J Vlahos
8549 Heather Court
St John, IN 46373

EXAMINER

JOHNSON III, HENRY M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3739

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

10-064-010

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

20061114

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Applicant's amendment filed 10/26/2006 is not compliant as per letter mailed 11/07/2006. Claims listing must be complete with all claims having an identified as to status (i.e. currently amended, cancelled, original, etc.). The claims may not contain any new material. Applicant's amendment appears to reference a magnifying glass within the bowl. No such glass has been previously disclosed making this new matter; MPEP § 706.03(o). Claim 6 was amended on a separate page. The claim listing must be in sequential order as a single listing.

Regarding applicant's reference to MPEP § 707.07 (j), this is applicable when "it becomes apparent to the examiner that there is patentable subject matter disclosed in the application". The rejection dated 7/26/2006 clearly indicates that no allowable material has been identified by the examiner.

Some of the pages faxed by the applicant were marginally readable due to extremely small type. It appears this was a copy of portions of MPEP § 707.07. When providing a compliant response, please insure the copies are of sufficient quality to be legible upon receipt.

The applicant is required to reply within the timeframe indicated in the letter of 11/07/2006 to avoid abandonment.

Henry M. Johnson, III
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 3739